UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/610,689	06/30/2003	Douglas R. Carrell	MSFT-1961/303999.1	2918
41505 WOODCOCK	7590 08/21/2007 WASHBURN LLP (MICE	ROSOFT CORPORATION)	EXAMINER	
CIRA CENTRI 2929 ARCH S	12TH FLOOR PRICE, NATHAN E		ATHAN E	
	IA, PA 19104-2891		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
	,		2194	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/21/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	10/610,689	CARRELL ET AL.		
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Nathan Price	2194		
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence ac	ddress	
HE REPLY FILED 27 June 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	PPLICATION IN CONDITION	N FOR ALLOWANCE.		
1. A The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or this application, applicant must timely file one of the for places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in complication periods:	llowing replies: (1) an amend Notice of Appeal (with appea ance with 37 CFR 1.114. The	ment, affidavit, or other evid if fee) in compliance with 37	ence, which CFR 41.31; or (3)	
 a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing d b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of th 		e set forth in the final rejection, v	whichever is later. In	
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expi	re later than SIX MONTHS from	the mailing date of the final reje	ction.	
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPE	or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) V	VHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS	FILED WITHIN	
ander 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office is may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704 NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in confiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any expression and the Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed.	ater than three months after the (b). Inpliance with 37 CFR 41.37 atension thereof (37 CFR 41.14) are within the time period set	mailing date of the final rejection must be filed within two more 37(e)), to avoid dismissal of forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).	n, even if timely filed nths of the date of the appeal. Since	
The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection (a) They raise new issues that would require further	consideration and/or search		because	
 (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE b (c) They are not deemed to place the application in appeal; and/or 		terially reducing or simplifyin	g the issues for	
(d) They present additional claims without canceling	a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.		
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a				
The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR		f Non-Compliant Amendmer	nt (PTOL-324).	
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be non-allowable claim(s). 		eparate, timely filed amendr	ment canceling the	
For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): how the new or amended claims would be rejected is particles that the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	 a) will not be entered, or orovided below or appended. 	b) 🛛 will be entered and ar	n explanation of	
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:				
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-11,14-20,23-29 and 32-36</u> .				
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:				

AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE

8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).

9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).

10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER

11. 🛛 The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.

12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).

13.

⊠ Other: See Continuation Sheet.

SUPERVISORY PARCAT EXAMINER

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Application No. 10/610,689

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues the references fail to teach converting header data as claimed. Examiner respectfully disagrees. The FMH7 is header data (Taylor: page 68 §5.7) and Taylor teaches or makes it obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to convert header data by teaching integration of TCP/IP and SNA (Taylor: page 386 paragraph 2).

Continuation of 13. Other: In view of amendments and Applicant's arguments, see amendments and REMARKS, filed 27 June 2007, with respect to objections of Figure 1 and claims 1 - 11 and 23 - 29, have been fully considered and are persuasive. The objections of Figure 1 and objections of claims 1 - 11 and 23 - 29 have been withdrawn.

With respect to paragraph 4 of the Office Action mailed 27 February 2007, see REMARKS, filed 27 June 2007, the paragraph clarifies that the portion of the specification cited by Applicant to support amendments, see REMARKS, filed 29 November 2006, does not appear to support the amendments. It does not appear that paragraph 0056 discloses header information usable with a TCP protocol. However, it is noted that original claims 30 and 31 (received 30 June 2003) appear to include similar limitations regarding header information. Therefore, the claim amendments do not appear to constitute new matter.